

1. Mollow triplets

In the dress atom calculation, we show that the photons scattered by the atoms can have three components, called Mollow triplets.

A. Given atomic energy $H_a = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\sigma_z$, radiation field energy $H_p = \hbar\omega b^\dagger b$, detuning

$\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$, and the atom-photon coupling under RWA $H_{ap} = \frac{g}{2}(\sigma^+ b + \sigma b^\dagger)$, show that

the eigenstates are $|1\rangle = \cos\theta |g, n+1\rangle + \sin\theta |e, n\rangle$ and

$|2\rangle = -\sin\theta |g, n+1\rangle + \cos\theta |e, n\rangle$, where $\tan 2\theta = g^2 n / \hbar\Delta$, $n \gg 1$, the eigen-

energies are $E_1 = (n+1)\hbar\omega - \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} + \frac{\hbar\Delta'}{2}$ and $E_2 = (n+1)\hbar\omega - \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} - \frac{\hbar\Delta'}{2}$, $\hbar\Delta' = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Omega^2}$

and $\Omega = g\sqrt{n}$ is the Rabi frequency.

B. Show that the scattered photons will have 3 spectral lines at ω_0 and $\omega_0 \pm \Delta'$.

C. Treat spontaneous emission semi-classically as decay process that transfers population in state $|e, n\rangle$ to state $|g, n\rangle$ with a rate Γ . Show that the equilibrium population in the

eigen-state $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ are $\rho_{11} = \frac{\cos^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta}$ and $\rho_{22} = \frac{\sin^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta}$, respectively.

D. Determine the scattering rates into the 3 components. Comment on how the spectral strength of the 3 lines increases as the intensity of the radiation field $I_p \propto \langle b^\dagger b \rangle$.

Solution:

A. Diagonalize the 2x2 Hamiltonian in the space of $|g, n+1\rangle$ and $|e, n\rangle$.

B.

$$\omega - \Delta' \text{ component: } \Gamma_{12}\rho_{22} = \Gamma \cos^4 \theta \frac{\sin^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta} = \Gamma \frac{\sin^4 \theta \cos^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta}$$

$$\omega \text{ component: } \Gamma_{11}\rho_{11} + \Gamma_{22}\rho_{22} = \Gamma \frac{\sin^2 \theta \cos^6 \theta + \sin^6 \theta \cos^2 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta} = \Gamma \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta$$

$$\omega + \Delta' \text{ component: } \Gamma_{21}\rho_{11} = \Gamma \sin^4 \theta \frac{\cos^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta} = \Gamma \frac{\sin^4 \theta \cos^4 \theta}{\sin^4 \theta + \cos^4 \theta}$$

C. We may consider weak intensity limit. Scattering rate $\sim I \sim \theta^2$. Only the ω component has the θ^2 dependence, which means it is a single photon process. The side peaks comes from two-photon process.

2. Dipole force and optical potential revisited

Based on the dressed atom picture and the results in 1 we will derive the more accurate formula for the light shift.

Consider an inhomogeneous radiation intensity $I(x)$. Light shift of an atom is the energy needed to move it adiabatically (slowly enough) from infinity where $I(\infty) = 0$ toward the laser beam.

A. At position x , argue that the radiation force can be written as

$$F(x) = -\frac{\hbar \nabla \Delta'(x)}{2} [\rho_{11}(x) - \rho_{22}(x)]$$

B. Show that the optical force comes from an optical potential $U(x)$ given by

$$U = \frac{\hbar \Delta}{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{I/I_{sat}}{4\Delta^2/\Gamma^2}\right)$$

C. Show that this result is consistent with our calculation based on semi-classical treatment in the limit of low intensity $I \ll I_{sat}$ or large detuning $\Delta \gg \Gamma$.

$$U = \frac{\hbar \Gamma}{8} \frac{I/I_{sat}}{\Delta/\Gamma}$$

D. Comment on the difference between the light shift we derive here and the one from the Lorentz model: $\Delta E = -\text{Re} \alpha_{AC} \frac{I}{2}$, where α_{AC} is the AC polarizability. Show that the difference occurs mostly near the resonance.

Hint: $I/I_{sat} = 2\Omega^2/\Gamma^2$.

References:

J.P. Gordon and A. Ashkin, PRA 21 1606 (1980)

J. Dalibard and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Opt. Soc Am. B 2 1707 (1985)

Solution:

A. Use $\langle F \rangle = -d\langle E(x) \rangle/dx$, where $\langle E(x) \rangle$ is the expectation value of the energy shift.

B. Integrate the result in A.

C. Expand the result in B in the limit of small $\frac{I/I_{sat}}{4\Delta^2/\Gamma^2}$.

D. At an intensity I , light shift approaches zero according to $U \approx \frac{\hbar \Delta}{2} \ln \frac{I/I_{sat}}{4\Delta^2/\Gamma^2}$ for small

detuning $|\Delta| \ll \Gamma$. Lorentz model gives $U \approx \frac{I}{2\omega\beta^2} \Delta$, which has a different dependence on Δ and I .

3. Electromagnetically induced transparency

Consider an ensemble of identical atoms whose dynamics can be described by taking into account only three of its eigenstates: $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|3\rangle$. In the absence of electromagnetic fields, all atoms are assumed to be in the lowest energy state $|1\rangle$. State $|2\rangle$ has the same parity as $|1\rangle$ and is assumed to have a very long coherence time. The highest energy state $|3\rangle$ is of opposite parity and has a non-zero electric-dipole coupling

to both $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. A resonant or near resonant non-perturbative radiation field of frequency ω_C , termed the control field, is applied on the $|2\rangle$ to $|3\rangle$ transition, and a probe field of frequency ω_P is applied on the $|1\rangle$ to $|3\rangle$ transition.

EIT is primarily concerned with the modification of the linear and non-linear properties of the typically perturbative probe field.

A. Show that under a proper rotating wave approximation, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{\text{int}} = -\Delta_P |3\rangle\langle 3| - \Delta_C |2\rangle\langle 2| - (\Omega_P |1\rangle\langle 3| + \Omega_C |2\rangle\langle 3| + h.c.),$$

where $\Delta_P = \omega_P - \omega_{13}$, $\Delta_C = \omega_C - \omega_{23}$, and Ω_P and Ω_C are the Rabi frequencies of the control and probe fields.

B. Given the wavefunction $|\psi\rangle = c_1 |1\rangle + c_2 |2\rangle + c_3 |3\rangle$, show that the equation of motion is given by

$$\dot{c}_1 = i\Omega_P^* c_3$$

$$\dot{c}_2 = i(\Delta_P - \Delta_C)c_2 + i\Omega_C^* c_3$$

$$\dot{c}_3 = -\left(\frac{\Gamma}{2} - i\Delta_P\right)c_3 + i\Omega_P c_1 + i\Omega_C c_2,$$

where Γ is the decay rate of $|3\rangle$.

C. Argue that the sample is transparent to the probe beam when $\text{Im } \rho_{13} = 0$. Show that such condition can be achieved when the two radiation fields are detuned by the same amount $\Delta_P = \Delta_C$.

Hint: For simplicity, you may assume the probe is weak enough such that $c_1 \approx 1$.

D. For the special case $\Delta_P = \Delta_C = 0$, plot the absorption of the probe beam as a function of its frequency with no, weak and strong control beam.

Solution

A. Extending the result in HW5 #2, we can introduce the new basis $|1\rangle = e^{i\omega_P t} |1\rangle_{\text{old}}$ and $|2\rangle = e^{i\omega_C t} |2\rangle_{\text{old}}$ and offset the energy of state 1 to zero.

B. You may derive the result using Schroedinger's equation with RWA.

C. As we learned from the Lorentz model, the imaginary part leads to energy dissipation. Thus a perfect transparency for the probe beam requires $\text{Im } \rho_{13} = 0$.

In equilibrium, we have $(\Delta_P - \Delta_C)c_2 + \Omega_C^* c_3 = 0$ and $i\Omega_P c_1 = \left(\frac{\Gamma}{2} - i\Delta_P\right)c_3 - i\Omega_C c_2$.

Eliminating c_2 and multiplying c_3^* , we get $c_1 c_3^* = \rho_{13}^* = |c_3|^2 \left[\frac{|\Omega_C|^2}{\Omega_P(\Delta_P - \Delta_C)} - \frac{\Delta_P - i\Gamma/2}{\Omega_P} \right]$,

whose imaginary party vanishes when $\Delta_P = \Delta_C$.

D. When the control field is on resonance we have $\rho_{13} = \frac{|c_3|^2}{\Omega_p} \left(\frac{|\Omega_C|^2}{\Delta_p} - \Delta_p - i\Gamma/2 \right)$.

Providing a proper normalization, we can write the absorption as

$$\alpha \propto \text{Im}\rho_{13} \propto \frac{\Gamma/2}{\left(\frac{|\Omega_C|^2}{\Delta_p} - \Delta_p\right)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{|\Omega_C|^2}{\Delta_p^2}\right)^2 \frac{4\Delta_p^2}{\Gamma^2}}.$$

Note that the above expression recovers the Lorentzian absorption for a two-level system

$$\alpha \propto s = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{4\Delta_p^2}{\Gamma^2}} \text{ when the control beam is off } \Omega_C = 0 \text{ and the probe beam is weak.}$$

When the control beam is on, absorption at the resonance is fully suppressed and such transparency window has a spectral width of $\frac{|\Omega_C|^2}{\Gamma} \propto I_C$, which is proportional to the control beam intensity. This is the EIT.

Very close to the resonance (or equivalently very strong control beam), we obtain a

$$\text{quadratic dependence on the detuning } \alpha \propto \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{\Delta_p^2}{1 + \frac{4|\Omega_C|^4}{\Gamma^2}}.$$